



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignisa 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMEFEINVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/728,097 12/01/2000 C. Kevin McIntyre 10001448-1 4539 T590 08/11/2003 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY EXAMINER Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER						
T590 08/11/2003 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMEREINVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400	09/728,097	12/01/2000	C. Kevin McIntyre	10001448-1	4539	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400	7	590 08/11/2003				
P.O. Box 272400 NGUYEN, MIKE Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			Y	EXAMINER		
				NGUYEN, MIKE		
	Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		ART UNIT PAP		
				2182 DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

•				_		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	3		
		09/728,097	MCINTYRE, C. KEVIN	•		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mike Nguyen	2182			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failul - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a repty within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) rill appty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication, DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	<u>une 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowed					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D.	11, 433 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	eted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		pproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		_			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *				
Attachmen						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office		Red of Report No. 3			

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Notices & Remarks

- 1. Applicant's amendment 06/02/2003 in response to Examiner's Office Action has been reviewed but they are not deemed to be persuasive. The following rejections now apply
- 2. Claims 1-14 are pending for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3-5 and 8 recite the limitation "the MFD". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C.

Art Unit: 2182

122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,748 B1).

5. As to claim 1, Lin teaches a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with a Mopy-enabled multifunction device (MFD) (see figure 1), the system comprising:

a source-selection determiner configured to determine a source selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection determiner configured to determine a destination selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

a Mopy-job formatter configured to format a Mopy job that includes source-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job and destination-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job (see figure 1 element 103 and column 3 lines 9-25);

a Mopy-job transmitter configured to transmit the Mopy job to a MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19).

6. As to claim 2, Lin teaches a Mopy-enabled multifunction device (MFD) (see figure 1) comprising:

a printing engine (see column 4 lines 25-30 wherein since output device can be a printer so the MFD must comprise the printing engine);

multiple sources (see column 3 lines 4-8 wherein since the source-selection determiner can select any desired property for output such as paper size, resolution, number of copies etc. so the MFD must comprise multiple sources);

Art Unit: 2182

: 2182

multiple destinations (see column 2 lines 61-64 wherein the destination-selection determiner provides an interface for displaying all available output devices so the MFD must comprise multiple destinations);

a receiver configured to receive a Mopy job from a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with the MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19), the system comprising:

a source-selection determiner configured to determine a source selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection determiner configured to determine a destination selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

a Mopy-job formatter configured to format a Mopy job that includes source-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job and destination-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job (see figure 1 element 103 and column 3 lines 9-25);

a Mopy-job transmitter configured to transmit the Mopy job to a MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19).

7. As to claim 3, Lin teaches a printer driver comprising a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with the MFD (see column 2 lines 57-67 and column 3 lines 1-8), the system comprising:

a source-selection determiner configured to determine a source selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection determiner configured to determine a destination selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

Art Unit: 2182

a Mopy-job formatter configured to format a Mopy job that includes source-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job and destination-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job (see figure 1 element 103 and column 3 lines 9-25);

- a Mopy-job transmitter configured to transmit the Mopy job to a MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19).
- 8. As to claim 4, Lin teaches an application comprising a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with the MFD (see column 2 lines 57-61), the system comprising:

a source-selection determiner configured to determine a source selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection determiner configured to determine a destination selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

a Mopy-job formatter configured to format a Mopy job that includes source-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job and destination-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job (see figure 1 element 103 and column 3 lines 9-25);

a Mopy-job transmitter configured to transmit the Mopy job to a MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19).

9. As to claim 5, Lin teaches an operating system comprising a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with the MFD (since the Mopying control system is a local host computer (figure 1) so it is inherently the local host computer having an operating system), the system comprising:

a source-selection determiner configured to determine a source selected for a Mopy in a

Art Unit: 2182

Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection determiner configured to determine a destination selected for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

a Mopy-job formatter configured to format a Mopy job that includes source-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job and destination-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job (see figure 1 element 103 and column 3 lines 9-25);

a Mopy-job transmitter configured to transmit the Mopy job to a MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19).

10. As to claim 6, Lin teaches a method facilitating multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control of a Mopy-enabled multifunction device (MFD) (see figure 1), the method comprising:

specifying a source for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

specifying a destination for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64).

11. As to claim 7, Lin teaches a method as recited in claim 6, further comprising:

formatting a Mopy job, such job includes source-selecting directions for at least one

Mopy in the job and destination-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job (see figure 1 element 103 and column 3 lines 9-25);

transmitting the Mopy job to the MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19).

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/728,097

Art Unit: 2182

12. As to claim 8, Lin teaches a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instruction that, when executed by a computer (see figure 1 elements 106, 104), performs a method comprising:

specifying a source for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

specifying a destination for a Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64).

13. As to claim 9, Lin teaches a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with a Mopy-enabled multifunction device (MFD) (see figure 1), the system comprising:

a source-selection specifier configured to select a source for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection specifier configured to select a destination for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64).

- 14. As to claim 10, Lin teaches a system as recited claim 9, further comprising:
- a Mopy-job formatter configured to format a Mopy job that includes source-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job and destination-selecting directions for at least one Mopy in the job (see figure 1 element 103 and column 3 lines 9-25);
- a Mopy-job transmitter configured to transmit the Mopy job to the MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19).
- 15. As to claim 11, Lin teaches a Mopy-enabled multifunction device (MFD) (see figure 1) comprising:

a printing engine (see column 4 lines 25-30 wherein since output device can be a printer

Art Unit: 2182

so the MFD must comprise the printing engine);

multiple sources (see column 3 lines 4-8 wherein since the source-selection determiner can select any desired property for output such as paper size, resolution, number of copies etc. so the MFD must comprise multiple sources);

multiple destinations (see column 2 lines 61-64 wherein the destination-selection determiner provides an interface for displaying all available output devices so the MFD must comprise multiple destinations);

a receiver configured to receive a Mopy job from a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with a MFD (see figure 1 element 108 and column 4 lines 12-19), the system comprising:

a source-selection specifier configured to select a source selected for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection specifier configured to select a destination selected for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

16. As to claim 12, Lin teaches a printer driver comprising a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with the MFD (see column 2 lines 57-67 and column 3 lines 1-8), the system comprising:

a source-selection specifier configured to select a source for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection specifier configured to select a destination for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

Art Unit: 2182

17. As to claim 13, Lin teaches an application comprising a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with a Mopy-enabled multifunction device (MFD) (see column 2 lines 57-61), the system comprising:

a source-selection specifier configured to select a source for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection specifier configured to select a destination for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

18. As to claim 14, Lin teaches an operating system comprising a multiple-original-output ("Mopying") control system for use with the MFD (since the Mopying control system is a local host computer (figure 1) so it is inherently the local host computer having an operating system), the system comprising:

a source-selection specifier configured to select a source for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple sources on the MFD (see column 3 lines 4-8);

a destination-selection specifier configured to select a destination selected for each Mopy in a Mopy job from multiple destinations on the MFD (see column 2 lines 61-64);

Response to Amendment

19. In response to the applicant's arguments that "there is nothing disclosed in Lin indicating that a selection of a document property is equivalent to selection of source amongst multiple sources. With Lin, the user is incapable of actually selecting a single source, it never discloses of the capability of selecting from multiple sources". Examiner disagrees, column 3 lines 4-8 clearly indicates that the user can invoke the listing and selecting interface 102 to select any desired property for the document output from multiple sources.

Art Unit: 2182

Conclusion

20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-5040 or email is mike.nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

The appropriate fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached on (703) 308-3301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 4703) 305-3900.

JEPFREY GAFFIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Mike Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Group Art Unit 2182